

Inspection based on group membership under the following group manager		Certification body	Internal unique report no. of the Certification body
Company name	Participant no.		

Please enter all information legibly !!!

Operation/operating site (hereinafter referred to as operation):

Name of operation: _____

Address: _____

Coordinates: Latitude: _____ Longitude: _____

Person responsible: _____

Country of cultivation of the biomass: _____

Inspection information

Inspection scope: EU

Inspection type: _____ inspection of group member

Method & date:

on-site	Date: _____	from _____	a.m./p.m.	to _____	a.m./p.m.
on-site	Date: _____	from _____	a.m./p.m.	to _____	a.m./p.m.
on-site	Date: _____	from _____	a.m./p.m.	to _____	a.m./p.m.
on-site	Date: _____	from _____	a.m./p.m.	to _____	a.m./p.m.

Total inspection time on site (h): _____ Total time pre-/ post processing (h): _____

Name lead auditor: _____ Name(s) co-auditor (s): _____ Name(s) trainee (s): _____

Result of the inspection

Inspection result	Classification	Measures
100%	<input type="checkbox"/> COMPLIANT REDcert requirements are completely satisfied	No corrective measures required
75 - 99%	<input type="checkbox"/> PARTIALLY COMPLIANT REDcert requirements are largely satisfied	Routine documentation, agree on corrective measures, check implementation
< 75 % or KO (knock-out)	<input type="checkbox"/> NON-COMPLIANT REDcert requirements are NOT satisfied	Send inspection report to REDcert and BLE (within 24h after the inspection) Follow-up inspection required

Follow-up inspection required? No Yes Proposed date: _____ Copy received

Signature of the auditor: _____ Signature (person responsible): _____

Date: _____ Signature of the person responsible at the certification body: _____

Certification body & risk assessment

Name of Certification Body		<i>Logo of Certification Body</i>
Registration number REDcert		
Name of accrediting body		
Accredited scope(s)		
Date of accreditation		

Contact details of the certification body

Address: _____
Country: _____
Person responsible: _____
Phone number: _____
Email address: _____ Website: _____

Risk assessment

The audit was conducted based on the following risk assessment:

Name of risk assessment (file)	
Date of the assessment	
Result (e.g. low, standard, high)	
Comment	

Other voluntary schemes

N/A

The economic operator has or had a certificate of (an) other voluntary scheme(s) recognized under Revised Directive (EU) 2018/2001 Art. 30 (4) or (6) <i>(expand list if necessary)</i>	
Name of the voluntary scheme	
ID-Number of certificate	
Scope of the certificate	
Current status of certificate (e.g. valid, suspended, withdrawn, terminated)	
Valid until	

Important: All fields are mandatory!

© REDcert

Checklist for the inspection of farms (REDcert-EU)

1. Information about the operation	
Company name (name of the operation)	
2. Scope of application	
Inspection of a group member	<input type="checkbox"/>
Inspection as part of of an individual certification	<input type="checkbox"/>
Phase: Greenhouse gas (GHG) calculation and carbon accumulation	
001 - GHG calculation (default values)	<input type="checkbox"/>
002 - GHG calculation (actual values)	<input type="checkbox"/>
003 - Soil carbon accumulation (Farm with e _{sca} practices)	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Information on GHG data	
Type of greenhouse gas data (multiple options possible)	<input type="checkbox"/> default values <input type="checkbox"/> disaggregated <input type="checkbox"/> NUTS 2 <input type="checkbox"/> actual values
Note: All fields are mandatory!	
© REDcert	

1. Information on estimated (potential) amount of sustainable biomass that could be harvested annually

Quantity of <u>estimated</u> annual sustainable biomass harvested		Type of biomass	Category	Quantity in tons
	1			
	2			
	3			
	<i>Expand list if necessary!</i>	4		
		5		

2. Information on actual (real) amount of sustainable biomass harvested

<u>Actual</u> quantity of harvested sustainable biomass in the previous calendar year		Type of biomass	Category	Quantity in tons
	1			
	2			
	3			
	<i>Expand list if necessary!</i>	4		
		5		

Additional guidance on the column "Category": please indicate under which of the following categories the material can be categorised
 AGRI (agricultural biomass e.g. rapeseed or other energy crops produced on farm land)
 Annex IX Part A (biomass listed under Annex IX part A of Revised Directive (EU) 2018/2001)*
 Annex IX Part B (biomass listed under Annex IX part B of Revised Directive (EU) 2018/2001)*
 WaR (other waste or residues not listed under Annex IX of Revised Directive (EU) 2018/2001)

*in addition to Annex IX of Revised Directive (EU) 2018/2001, Annex IV of Implementing Regulation (EU) 2022/996 provides a non-exhaustive list of waste and residues currently covered by Annex IX to Revised Directive (EU) 2018/2001.

Important: All fields are mandatory!

© REDcert

Key:

Conform = Full compliance

Minor NC = limited, isolated, temporary, not systematic

Major NC = potentially reversible, repeated and systematic

Critical NC / KO = intentional, irreversible, jeopardising integrity

N/A = Scheme requirements are not applicable

= Input field

= Input field with KO evaluation

= Input not possible

Legend (to shorten the comments): MMS= merchandise management system, SD=self-declaration, FA=farmer, IG=incoming goods, OG=outgoing goods, MB=mass balance, MBS=massbalance system, WI=work instruction, PI=procedure instruction, E=employee, P=participant, R=recommendation, CM=corrective measure, AP=action plan, OS=operating site/warehouse

Name of operation:							Inspection date:
Consec. No.	Criterion/requirement	Evaluation					Comments / description of the inspected documents / records / certificates
		CONFORM	MINOR	MAJOR	CRITICAL / KO	NOT APPLICABLE (N/A)	
1	System principles						
1.1	The biomass is from land categorised as cropland prior to 01.01.2008.						
1.2	If areas were converted after 01.01.2008, conversion and use does not conflict with the requirements set forth in Article 29 of Revised Directive (EU) 2018/2001. <i>(Note 1: about grasslands: auditor must judge whether an assessment of highly biodiverse grassland is necessary. If an assessment is necessary, it must be conducted by a qualified independent expert. The assessment and result must then be reviewed as part of the inspection.)</i>						
1.3	The operation can document that it receives EU payments in a direct support scheme.						
1.4	The biomass can be clearly assigned to the cropland using the area verification and any additional documentation.						
1.5	The biomass was not produced on land with a high value for biological diversity (primary and old-growth forest, natural highly biodiverse grassland, heathland) in or after 01.01.2008.						
1.6	In the event that the biomass was produced on land within protected areas with a permit for farming, there is no indication that these requirements were not complied with.						
1.7	The biomass is not from land with high above-ground or underground carbon stock (reference date: 01.01.2008). The evidence of verification has to reflect any seasonal changes within a year.						
1.8	Can it be demonstrated that measures have been taken to maintain soil quality when using agricultural residues and waste materials? These measures can be verified in the form of a e.g. management plan						
1.9	Can the economic operator clearly identify the area where the biomass is produced with geographical coordinates by means of a polygon or an unambiguous designation of the parcel of land, forest parcel, plot or similar?						
1.10	The biomass does not originate from non-natural highly biodiverse grassland, unless it can be confirmed that the harvesting is necessary to preserve it as such.						
1.11	The biomass is not from highly biodiverse forest, unless it can be demonstrated that nature protection purposes were not affected.						
1.12	The biomass does not originate from land that was peatland in January 2008, unless it can be proved that the cultivation and harvesting do not imply draining previously undrained soil.						

2	Additional requirements for operations not subject to conditionality	N/A				
2.1	Soil Structure and soil organic matter					
2.1.1	Are measures taken to avoid soil compaction as far as possible and to maintain or improve soil structure?					
2.1.2	Required erosion protection measures according to the particular erosion category classification are implemented.					
2.1.3	Proof can be provided that the organic substance in the soil is retained and the soil structure is protected through farming.					
2.1.4	Land not used for agricultural production is properly cared for. National or regional regulations are satisfied.					
2.1.5	Farm complies with applicable removal bans for landscape elements hedges, ponds, ditches, trees in line, in groups or isolated and field margins.					
2.2	Requirements for applying fertilisers containing nitrogen					
2.2.1	Farm complies with application restrictions and closed periods.					
2.2.2	Fertiliser is only applied to soil capable of uptake.					
2.2.3	Farm complies with the specific requirements for applying fertiliser on steep slopes.					
2.2.4	Fertiliser is prevented from entering surface water when applied.					
2.2.5	A nutrient comparison is created and documented once a year.					
2.2.6	Farm complies with the structural requirements for storage and filling facilities.					
2.2.7	Fertiliser containing nitrogen are stored properly in appropriate facilities and containers, drainage and overflow are prevented.					
2.2.8	Only the appropriate, state-of-the-art equipment is used for applying the fertiliser.					
2.2.9	Fertilisers are only applied by qualified employees.					
2.2.10	Documentation about the type of crop, time, area, type and amount of fertiliser is available and complete.					
2.3	Requirements for the use of sludge					
2.3.1	Farm complies with application bans and restrictions.					
2.3.2	In case of permission the use of sludge as a fertiliser is fully documented equal to other fertilisers					

2.4	Integrated pest management					
2.4.1	Farmer can provide evidence of IPM (integrated pest management) activities.					
2.4.2	The production process uses the best available technology and covers the relevant requirements.					
2.5	Application and handling of plant protection products					
2.5.1	Only approved pesticides are used, farm complies with areas of application (culture and harmful organism) and the defined application requirements.					
2.5.2	Chemicals listed in the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants may and chemicals in plant protection products included in the lists of WHO classes 1a and 1b are not used. Chemicals listed in Annex III to the Rotterdam Convention (UNEP Prior Informed Consent (PEP) programme list) are avoided and alternatives considered if any are available on the market. A phase out scenario (until January 2023) is required.					
2.5.3	Producers follow the manufacturer's instructions provided for application					
2.5.4	Appropriate documentation about the type of crop, time, area of PPP application as well type, amount and origin of PPPs is available and complete.					
2.5.5	All users have been properly trained and have the appropriate knowledge.					
2.5.6	Protective clothing is available for the employees affected.					
2.5.7	Pesticides are only applied with the appropriate spreading and spraying equipment. The equipment is inspected and calibrated regularly.					
2.5.8	Leftover pesticides and pesticide packaging is handled in accordance with the valid national or regional regulations.					
2.6	Groundwater protection					
2.6.1	Producers don't release harmful substances into groundwater as defined in Annex I of Directive 2006/118/EC and in Annex II Part B of Directive 2014/80/EU amending Annex II to Directive 2006/118/EC					
2.6.2	Producers must also prevent indirect discharge of those dangerous substances. They provide adequate facilities for the storage and handling of slurry or other type of livestock manure and silage with no risk of leakage or drip loss. If national provisions apply, they must be fulfilled.					
2.6.3	The disposal, use or storage of these types of substances complies with the applicable legal regulations.					

2.7	Water protection and management								
2.7.1	Water is protected against pollution and run-off. When pesticides are used, they are prevented from directly entering the surface water.								
2.7.2	Erosion control strips are installed along natural watercourses in which production is less intensive in terms of field tillage and the use of fertilisers and pesticides.								
2.7.3	The farm has a licence to remove water for irrigation purposes from groundwater and surface water. Documentation showing the amount of water used and the time period of irrigation is available at any time.								
3	Social responsibility								
3.1	The following basic ILO core conventions are valid at a minimum in the country and are respected in the operation: ILO 29, 87, 98, 100, 105, 111, 138, 182								
4	GHG calculation								
4.1	General GHG calculation								
4.1.1	Are all required documents up-to-date and complete?								
4.1.2	Does GHG calculate correspond to the methodology specified in Revised Directive (EU) 2018/2001?								
4.1.3	Is the GHG calculation correct and transparent?								
4.2	Requirements for the calculation of emissions savings as a result of improved agricultural management (esca)							N/A	
4.2.1	Is there a binding declaration from the farm to implement the measure to accumulate carbon in the soil as a result of improved agricultural management practices for at least 10 years, is the measure clearly described and is the measure								
4.2.2	Can it be demonstrated that the improved agricultural management practices do not risk to negatively affect biodiversity?								
4.2.3	Is the measure to accumulate carbon in the soil through improved agricultural practices and the corresponding accounting methodology understood, correctly implemented and sufficiently documented by the producer?								
4.2.4	Are all calculation steps complete and plausible and is the data and information used up-to-date and reliable?								
4.2.5	Are all reported values, especially for carbon stock at the reference time and for carbon accumulation during/after the measure, reliable, verifiable and correctly credited?								
Evaluation of the results		COMPLIANT	MINOR	MAJOR	CRITICAL/KO	N/A	KO (no confirmation of conformity)		
Number of evaluations system principles		0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total of all evaluations (not including N/A evaluations)		0							
Audit results									
Score (COMPLIANT= 20 pts, MINOR= 15 pts, MAJOR= 5 pts, CRITICAL/KO= 0 pts, N/A= 0 pts, KO= no confirmation of conformity)		0	0	0	0	0			
Total of all points		0							
Max. number of points		0							
Audit result as a % (total of all points divided by the max. number of points * 100)									

